| 1 | QUINN EMANUEL URQUHART & SULLIV | |
|----|--|--|
| 2 | Diane M. Doolittle (CA Bar No. 142046) dianedoolittle@quinnemanuel.com | Andrew H. Schapiro (admitted <i>pro hac vice</i>) andrewschapiro@quinnemanuel.com |
| 3 | Sara Jenkins (CA Bar No. 230097) | Teuta Fani (admitted pro hac vice) |
| | sarajenkins@quinnemanuel.com 555 Twin Dolphin Drive, 5th Floor | teutafani@quinnemanuel.com 191 N. Wacker Drive, Suite 2700 |
| 4 | Redwood Shores, CA 94065 | Chicago, IL 60606 |
| 5 | Telephone: (650) 801-5000 | Telephone: (312) 705-7400 |
| 6 | Facsimile: (650) 801-5100 | Facsimile: (312) 705-7401 |
| 7 | Stephen A. Broome (CA Bar No. 314605) | Josef Ansorge (admitted <i>pro hac vice</i>) |
| 8 | stephenbroome@quinnemanuel.com Viola Trebicka (CA Bar No. 269526) | josefansorge@quinnemanuel.com Xi ("Tracy") Gao (CA Bar No. 326266) |
| | violatrebicka@quinnemanuel.com | tracygao@quinnemanuel.com |
| 9 | Crystal Nix-Hines (CA Bar No. 326971) crystalnixhines@quinnemanuel.com | Carl Spilly (admitted <i>pro hac vice</i>) carlspilly@quinnemanuel.com |
| 10 | Alyssa G. Olson (CA Bar No. 305705) | 1300 I Street NW, Suite 900 |
| 11 | alyolson@quinnemanuel.com | Washington D.C., 20005 |
| | 865 S. Figueroa Street, 10th Floor | Telephone: (202) 538-8000 |
| 12 | Los Angeles, CA 90017 Telephone: (213) 443-3000 | Facsimile: (202) 538-8100 |
| 13 | Facsimile: (213) 443-3100 | |
| 14 | Jomaire Crawford (admitted <i>pro hac vice</i>) | Jonathan Tse (CA Bar No. 305468) |
| 15 | jomairecrawford@quinnemanuel.com | jonathantse@quinnemanuel.com |
| 16 | 51 Madison Avenue, 22nd Floor | 50 California Street, 22nd Floor |
| 16 | New York, NY 10010 Telephone: (212) 849-7000 | San Francisco, CA 94111 Telephone: (415) 875-6600 |
| 17 | Facsimile: (212) 849-7100 | Facsimile: (415) 875-6700 |
| 18 | Attorneys for Defendant Google LLC | |
| 19 | | DISTRICT COURT |
| 20 | UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA, OAKLAND DIVISION | |
| 21 | CHASOM BROWN, et al., individually and | Case No. 4:20-cv-03664-YGR-SVK |
| 22 | on behalf of themselves and all others | DEFENDANT GOOGLE LLC'S ADMINISTRATIVE MOTION TO SEAL |
| 23 | similarly situated, | THE COURTROOM FOR JANUARY 10, 2023 HEARING |
| 24 | Plaintiffs, | 2023 HEARING |
| | v. | Referral: Hon. Susan van Keulen, USMJ |
| 25 | GOOGLE LLC, | Hearing Date: January 10, 2023 Hearing Time: 10:00 A.M. |
| 26 | , and the second | |
| 27 | Defendant. | |
| 28 | | |

I. INTRODUCTION

In accordance with Section 5.2(b) of the Protective Order (Dkt. 81), Plaintiffs informed Google that they intend to discuss at the January 10, 2023 hearing information that Google has designated as Confidential or Highly Confidential-Attorneys' Eyes Only. Noticed for the January 10 hearing are Google's Motion for Relief Regarding Preservation (Dkt. 782), Plaintiffs' Response (Dkt. 800), and Google's Reply (Dkt. 806). *See* Dkt. 792. In addition, the parties have filed a Joint Letter Brief regarding preservation that may also be discussed. Dkt. 818. All of these filings contain information sought to be redacted from the public docket pursuant to the Protective Order in this case. *See* Dkts. 781, 802, 805, 817.

Google will also need to freely speak regarding the substance of the filings, including the information that has been redacted from the public docket. These discussions will contain Google's confidential and proprietary information regarding highly sensitive features of Google's internal systems and operations that Google does not share publicly, including (1) Google's internal data structures and fields at issue, such as particular logs, locations, and identifiers, and (2) internal metrics related to Google's storage of data, costs associated with that storage, and information on Google's processes for doing so. This information is at the heart of the hearing.

Therefore, Google now moves the Court to seal the courtroom for the January 10, 2023 hearing. In light of the highly confidential material to be discussed at the January 10, 2023 hearing, Google asked Plaintiffs if they opposed Google's request. Fortenbery Decl., ¶ 3. Plaintiffs stated they do intend to discuss confidential material, but they also oppose Google's request. *Id.* To the extent the Court believes particular issues may be discussed publicly without discussion of Google's confidential information, such as legal arguments regarding the effect of the Court's Class Certification Order on Google's preservation obligations, Google requests such issues be taken up first, followed by sealed discussion of remaining matters that will necessarily entail disclosure of Google's confidential information.

II. LEGAL STANDARD

The common law right of public access to judicial proceedings is not a constitutional right and it is "not absolute." *Nixon v. Warner Commc'ns, Inc.*, 435 U.S. 589, 598 (1978); *Crowe v. Cty*.

1 of San Diego, 210 F. Supp. 2d 1189, 1194 (S.D. Cal. 2002) ("[T]here is no right of access which 2 attaches to all judicial proceedings." (internal citations omitted)). The right is weakest where, as 3 here, the proceedings concern a non-dispositive discovery motion; rather than satisfy the more stringent "compelling reasons" standard, a party seeking to seal materials in these circumstances 4 5 must make only a "particularized showing" of "good cause." Kamakana v. City & County of Honolulu, 447 F.3d 1172, 1178–80 (9th Cir. 2006). Such sealing at trial is appropriate when the 6 7 information at issue constitutes "competitively sensitive information," such as "confidential 8 research, development, or commercial information." France Telecom S.A. v. Marvell Semiconductor 9 Inc., 2014 WL 4965995, at *4 (N.D. Cal. Oct. 3, 2014); see also Phillips v. Gen. Motors Corp., 307 F.3d 1206, 1211 (9th Cir. 2002) (acknowledging courts' "broad latitude" to "prevent disclosure of 10 materials for many types of information, including, but not limited to, trade secrets or other 11 12 confidential research, development, or commercial information"); Standard & Poor's Corp. Inc. v. 13 Commodity Exch., Inc., 541 F. Supp. 1273, 1275 (S.D.N.Y. 1982) ("[T]he overriding interest to be found in business confidences . . . require[s] . . . temporary reasonably restricted access to the 14 Courtroom of members of the public."). 15

III. THE HEARING SHOULD BE SEALED BECAUSE PARTIES' ARGUMENTS WILL NECESSARILY INVOLVE DISCLOSURE OF GOOGLE'S HIGHLY SENSITIVE MATERIAL

20212223

24

25

26

16

17

18

19

All facts weigh in favor of sealing the January 10, 2023 hearing. The "good cause" standard that governs the sealing determination in non-dispositive motions is easily met. The parties' briefing contains detailed discussions on Google's confidential information, including the highly sensitive features of Google's systems and operations. *See* Dkts. 782, 800, 806, 818. As explained in the Administrative Motions and supporting papers seeking redaction of these filings, the information sought to be sealed for this hearing reveals Google's internal strategies, system designs, and business practices for operating and maintaining data related to many of its important services while complying with its legal and privacy obligations. *See* Dkts. 781, 802, 805, 817. Such information is protectable and must be kept confidential in order to prevent harm to Google's competitive standing. *Id.* Moreover, public disclosure of Google's confidential information may put Google at an increased risk of cybersecurity threats. *Id.*

2728

Case No. 4:20-cv-03664-YGR-SVK

While Google has previously sought to seal only portions of hearings (or only particular data sources that may be referenced), this hearing is likely to focus on the details of particular data Google is storing. It will necessarily entail detailed discussion of the information Google has sought to redact from the public record in the underlying briefing, such as the size and location of particular data sources, Google's costs associated with storing that data, specific identifiers contained in the data, and the import (or non-import) of particular fields. Finding code names or otherwise avoiding discussion of these specifics is infeasible, given the subject matter of the hearing.

Given the importance and highly sensitive nature of the aforementioned information related to the parties' disputes, Google believes that sealing the courtroom is the correct and most effective approach. To the extent the Court believes particular issues may be discussed publicly without discussion of Google's confidential information, such as legal arguments regarding the effect of the Court's Class Certification Order on Google's preservation obligations, Google requests such issues be taken up first, followed by sealed discussion of remaining matters that will necessarily entail disclosure of Google's confidential information.

IV. CONCLUSION

For the foregoing reasons, Google respectfully requests that the Court seal the January 10, 2023 hearing.

| DATED: January 6, 2023 | QUINN EMANUEL URQUHART & |
|------------------------|--------------------------|
| | SULLIVAN, LLP |
| | |

By /s/ Andrew H. Schapiro

Andrew H. Schapiro (admitted *pro hac vice*) andrewschapiro@quinnemanuel.com 191 N. Wacker Drive, Suite 2700

Chicago, IL 60606

Telephone: (312) 705-7400 Facsimile: (312) 705-7401

Stephen A. Broome (CA Bar No. 314605) stephenbroome@quinnemanuel.com Viola Trebicka (CA Bar No. 269526) violatrebicka@quinnemanuel.com 865 S. Figueroa Street, 10th Floor

Los Angeles, CA 90017

Telephone: (213) 443-3000 Facsimile: (213) 443-3100

3 Case No. 4:20-cv-03664-YGR-SVK

| 1 | |
|-----|--|
| 2 | Diane M. Doolittle (CA Bar No. 142046) dianedoolittle@quinnemanuel.com |
| 3 | 555 Twin Dolphin Drive, 5th Floor |
| | Redwood Shores, CA 94065 |
| 4 | Telephone: (650) 801-5000 Facsimile: (650) 801-5100 |
| 5 | Josef Ansorge (admitted <i>pro hac vice</i>) |
| 6 | josefansorge@quinnemanuel.com |
| 7 | 1300 I. Street, N.W., Suite 900 Washington, D.C. 20005 |
| 8 | Telephone: 202-538-8000 Facsimile: 202-538-8100 |
| 9 | |
| | Jomaire A. Crawford (admitted <i>pro hac vice</i>) jomairecrawford@quinnemanuel.com |
| 10 | 51 Madison Avenue, 22nd Floor New York, NY 10010 |
| 11 | Telephone: (212) 849-7000 |
| 12 | Facsimile: (212) 849-7100 |
| 13 | Jonathan Tse (CA Bar No. 305468) jonathantse@quinnemanuel.com |
| 14 | 50 California Street, 22nd Floor |
| | San Francisco, CA 94111 |
| 15 | Telephone: (415) 875-6600 Facsimile: (415) 875-6700 |
| 16 | |
| 17 | Attornevs for Defendant Google LLC |
| 18 | |
| 19 | |
| 20 | |
| 21 | |
| 22 | |
| 23 | |
| | |
| 24 | |
| 25 | |
| 26 | |
| 27 | |
| 28 | |
| - 1 | 4 Case No. 4:20-cv-03664-YGR-SVK |